

Gamma-ray Spectrometry

Training Workshop on Applications of Gamma-ray Spectrometry to Environmental Samples

Efficiency Transfer

Tim Vidmar, PhD

SCK.CEN, Belgian Nuclear Research Centre, Boeretang 200, Mol, Belgium

Tim.Vidmar@sckcen.be

13-15 November, 2012

**Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences,
Belgrade, Serbia**

<1>

Copyright notice

Slides 3 to end are the intellectual property of Dr. Tim Vidmar from the SCK.CEN, Belgian Nuclear Research Centre.

"Unauthorised reproduction constitutes a copyright infringement and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings."

Introduction

- Samples may vary in size, composition and density
- Preparation of standards time consuming and costly
- Can we use a computational approach?
- Efficiency transfer – “transfer” of the efficiency of a standard to another sample

The method

Efficiency transfer:

- Standard different from the measured sample
- Activity of a given radionuclide in the sample is determined by considering the sample efficiency

$$A = \frac{N}{tI\varepsilon}$$

- The sample efficiency is obtained from the efficiency of the standard

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \left(\bar{\varepsilon} / \bar{\varepsilon}_0 \right)$$

- The two efficiencies $\bar{\varepsilon}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}_0$ must be computed!

The method

Efficiency transfer:

- $\bar{\varepsilon}$ is the computed efficiency of the sample
- $\bar{\varepsilon}_0$ is the computed efficiency of the standard
- Calculation exact: $\bar{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}_0 = \varepsilon_0 \Rightarrow \varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \left(\bar{\varepsilon} / \bar{\varepsilon}_0 \right) = \varepsilon$
- $\bar{\varepsilon}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}_0$ are **correlated!**


The method

Efficiency transfer:


- Imperfection in the detector model cancel out to a large extent
- This is the advantage of a relative computation as opposed to a direct one
- But it is only true if the standard and the sample have a sufficiently similar geometry!

From calibration to measurement

Sample and measurement instrument




Measurement geometry



Calibration geometry

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{N_0}{A_0 t_0 I_0}$$

$$k = \frac{\bar{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon_0}$$



Sample treatment

- Grinding
- Homogenization
- Weighing
- Preparation of measurement geometry

$$\varepsilon = k\varepsilon_0$$

Efficiency transfer

- Detector characterization
- Sample characterization
- Measurement of a standard
- Calculation of the efficiency transfer factor

A study

- M-C. Lepy et al., EUROMET Action 428: Transfer of Ge detector efficiency calibration from point source geometry to other sources
- Various approaches
 - Direct Monte Carlo with and without optimization
 - Efficiency transfer with Monte Carlo efficiencies
 - Efficiency transfer with the computation of virtual total efficiencies
 - Semi-empirical methods
- Point-to-point, point-to-extended and extended-to-extended source transfers
- Efficiency transfer found to be much more consistent and reliable than direct calculation
- Transfer with peak efficiencies (involves Monte Carlo calculations) best
- Accuracy sufficient for environmental measurements, especially for self-absorption correction

Another study

- Tim Vidmar, Branko Vodenik, Marijan Necemer, Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 68 (2010) 2352–2354
- Water solution samples with known activities: Am-241, Cd-109, Ce-139, Sn-113, Co-57, Cr-51, Sr-85, Co-60 and Y-88
- Point source measurements
- Transfer from point source to the extended samples failed
- Efficiency transfer between extended sources accurate to within a couple of percent

Comparison of efficiency transfer codes

- Codes were compared to each other for a set of well defined detector and sample geometries
- No reference to experimental data
- All the codes were found equivalent to each other
- Testing Efficiency Transfer Codes for Equivalence.

T. Vidmar et al., Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 68 (2010) 355-359.

Characteristics of the method

- Standards still required - but only a few!
- The efficiency of the measured sample is calculated
- The measured sample can differ from the standard – but not too much !
 - Size → geometry correction
 - Density → density correction
 - Composition
 - Any given combination of these
- Calculation can only be done with a computer
- Models of the detector and the sample are required
- The method is robust, precise enough and reliable